

Acute Stress Disorder, Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and Complex PTSD



Specific Populations and Trauma Types Terrorism

This *Terrorism* information sheet considers background issues and provides preparedness, presentation, assessment, and treatment advice for practitioners working with groups and individuals affected by terrorism.

Note that this information sheet does not provide detailed guidelines for disaster response more broadly in the context of a major terrorist event (e.g., a bombing affecting large numbers of people), and interventions for the whole population, such as psychological first aid, are discussed only briefly. Rather, the primary focus is issues affecting the minority who go on to develop long-term mental health problems.

Background issues

There have been several attempts to develop precise working definitions of terrorism.¹ A proposal to the United Nations provided the following brief legal definition: “[an act of terrorism is] the peacetime equivalent of a war crime”.² More precise definitions of terrorism tend to be relative, because judgments about acts of political violence are often subjective. For example, United States Department of Defense³ defines terrorism as: “The unlawful use of violence or threat of violence to instil fear and coerce governments or societies. Terrorism is often motivated by religious, political, or other ideological beliefs and committed in the pursuit of goals that are usually political”. Although more comprehensive, this definition is problematic because it relies on vague terms which are left open to interpretation (such as “unlawful violence” or “the pursuit of goals...”). Put simply, one person’s terrorist is another’s freedom fighter. In some cases, this ambiguity can make it more difficult for survivors to understand and find meaning in the experience.

Terrorist acts usually involve the threat of (and sometimes actual) high levels of destruction to property and, more importantly, to people. There is likely to be widespread threat to life and actual loss of life. There may well be exposure to gruesome sights for those involved, including the death and suffering of others; this may include close family members and friends. Difficulty (or inability) in helping others in the aftermath of the attack may precipitate feelings of helplessness and guilt.

The fear generated by terrorist attacks is unsurprising; they are characterised by many features typical of high severity traumatic events. Terrorist acts are generally unpredictable in terms of place, timing,

and potential victims; as such, they are completely uncontrollable (at least for the general population), increasing the risk of perpetual hypervigilance. Bioterrorism carries added threat since it is so poorly understood and is, effectively, 'invisible'. It is hard to be definite about whether an individual or group has been 'contaminated' and, even if individuals have clearly been exposed to pathogens, the likely health effects are rarely clear.

It is important to remember that the main goal of terrorism is exactly that – to generate feelings of terror in the community. Acts of terrorism are rare in Australia and the effects of fear and hypervigilance are often well in excess of the actual damage posed by, or caused by, the terrorist act.

In short, terrorist acts are generally high magnitude traumatic events, of very rare occurrence, capable of generating widespread fear and hypervigilance. For mental health professionals, this raises questions as to the best way to prepare for such attacks and the best way to manage the mental health consequences.

Issues for service planners

Preparing for the threat of terrorism

Reactions to terrorism can be made worse by sensational media reports and by poor communication by public officials. Thus, a key role for mental health professionals is often that of working with the media and public officials to ensure that appropriate messages are disseminated. Communications to the general population should be informed by the following recommendations adapted from Foa et al.⁴

- Provide realistic information on the likelihood of a terrorist attack and possible impact.
- Communicate that the individual risk is quite low.
- Explain that negative health behaviours which may increase during times of stress (e.g., smoking, unhealthy eating, substance use) constitute a greater health hazard than the hazards likely to stem from terrorism.
- Emphasise that the only action required on the individual level is increased vigilance of suspicious actions, which should be reported to authorities.
- Clearly communicate the meaning of different levels of warning systems.
- When issuing a warning, specify the type of threat, the type of place threatened, and indicate specific actions to be taken.
- Make the public aware of steps being taken to prevent terrorism without inundating people with unnecessary information.
- Provide the public with follow-up information after periods of heightened alert.

Communications by the media and public officials should also include simple information about resilience and expectations of recovery. Many simple fact sheets on resilience in the face of terrorism are available on the internet.

Responding to an attack

It is important to remember that most people will recover without any mental health assistance; thus, interventions in the early aftermath of a terrorist attack should be based around providing information and activating community support.

- Support the work of the emergency services.
- Activate and facilitate community support networks.
- Provide accurate information about the event and its consequences.
- Facilitate accurate and balanced communication by the media, schools, workplaces, etc.
- Establish information and drop-in centres to provide information, support, contacts, etc.
- Promote opportunities for mutual support through education and information, as well as by facilitating appropriate social activities through workplaces, schools, sports clubs, churches, and other community agencies.

Although debate exists in this area, it seems reasonable to implement some kind of low-key screening to facilitate identification of those individuals who are not showing the normal recovery trajectory and who are developing identifiable mental health problems. This might be done as part of a public health approach (“...if you are experiencing several of these symptoms, we suggest you visit your local GP”) or in a more restricted manner (such as through advertising telephone numbers for trained personnel to conduct screening). (See Brewin et al.⁵ for an example following the 2005 London bombings.) The key point is that secondary prevention – early intervention for individuals with mental health problems following trauma – is demonstrably effective, *if* the individuals can be identified. This approach requires that educational material is made available to general practitioners to ensure that appropriate assessment, education, and advice is forthcoming.

Issues for service providers

Presentation

An attack of small to moderate impact is likely to generate moderate to major psychological and behavioural reactions in the short term, and the greater the harmful impact of the attack, the greater the likely reaction. Proximity to the attack and number of attacks will influence the severity of individual reactions. There is no reason to assume that the nature of clinical reactions, when they occur, would be significantly different to those seen following other types of traumatic events.

Immediate reactions are likely to include heightened anxiety, panic attacks, sleep and substance use problems, absenteeism from work, and retaliatory reactions against minorities identified with the terrorists. Reactions are likely to subside over the medium term (days to weeks), although repeated attacks and/or widespread loss of life and/or significant damage to infrastructure may result in increased psychological and behavioural reactions.

Significant longer-term mental health reactions are likely to be limited to a relatively small proportion of the population. These reactions may include traumatic stress symptoms, other anxiety disorders, depression, and substance use, all of which may be associated with impaired functioning and

increased distress. The ongoing fear of another attack is likely to pervade all reactions to a greater or lesser extent.

Assessment

Standard approaches to assessment should be applied when assessing people presenting for treatment following a terrorist attack.

Treatment

Several 'real world' effectiveness trials of PTSD treatment following terrorist acts have appeared in the literature, including programs in the United Kingdom, Northern Ireland, Thailand, and the United States (e.g.,⁵⁻⁷). Each of those programs utilised cognitive behavioural approaches, training local clinicians in the delivery of evidence-based PTSD treatment. In each case, the outcomes were impressive. Thus, there is no reason to assume that interventions for those developing PTSD and related conditions following terrorism should be any different to those recommended for other trauma survivors. The recommendations provided in the Guidelines should be considered as the starting point.

Working with children

As with adults, the range of children's responses to terrorism is highly variable and psychological reactions will be strongly influenced by the behaviour and reactions of primary caregivers.⁸ Also consistent with adults, there is no reason to assume that treatment should be any different to those applied for PTSD of other origins. It is, of course, vital that clinicians are competent and experienced in working with the particular age group, and close attention should be paid to advice regarding treatment of children provided in the Guidelines.

With that caveat, children and young people with PTSD following terrorism should be offered a course of trauma-focussed cognitive behavioural therapy adapted appropriately to suit their age, circumstances, and level of development.

Recommended reading

Ursano, R. (Ed.). (2003). *Terrorism and disaster: Individual and community mental health interventions*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Ursano, R., Fullerton, C., Weisaeth, L., & Raphael, B. (2011). *Textbook of disaster psychiatry*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Source and contributors

This *Terrorism* information sheet was developed by Phoenix Australia in collaboration with Professor Mark Creamer, Clinical Psychologist, Department of Psychiatry, the University of Melbourne.

Citation

Phoenix Australia - Centre for Posttraumatic Mental Health. Specific Populations and Trauma Types: *Terrorism in Australian Guidelines for the Prevention and Treatment of Acute Stress Disorder, Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and Complex Posttraumatic Stress Disorder*. Melbourne: Phoenix Australia; 2020.

References

1. Schmid A. Terrorism - The definitional problem. *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law*. 2004;36(2/3):375-419.
2. Terr LC. Acute responses to external events and posttraumatic stress disorders. In: Lewis M, ed. *Child and adolescent psychiatry: A comprehensive textbook*. Baltimore, MD: Williams and Wilkins; 1991:755-763.
3. Department of Defense. *DOD dictionary of military and associated terms*. Washington, D.C.: Department of Defense; 2010.
4. Foa EB, Cahill SP, Boscarino JA, et al. Social, psychological, and psychiatric interventions following terrorist attacks: Recommendations for practice and research. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2005;30(10):1806-1817.
5. Brewin CR, Fuchkan N, Huntley Z, et al. Outreach and screening following the 2005 London bombings: Usage and outcomes. *Psychological Medicine*. 2010;40(12):2049-2057.
6. Gillespie K, Duffy M, Hackmann A, Clark DM. Community based cognitive therapy in the treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder following the Omagh bomb. *Behav Res Ther*. 2002;40(4):345-357.
7. Levitt JT, Malta LS, Martin A, Davis L, Cloitre M. The flexible application of a manualized treatment for PTSD symptoms and functional impairment related to the 9/11 World Trade Center attack. *Behav Res Ther*. 2007;45(7):1419-1433.
8. Bryant RA, Ekasawin S, Chakrabhand S, Suwanmitri S, Duangchun O, Chantaluckwong T. A randomized controlled effectiveness trial of cognitive behavior therapy for post-traumatic stress disorder in terrorist-affected people in Thailand. *World Psychiatry*. 2011;10(3):205-209.